UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,554	05/10/2005	Takashi Kozeki	MITSP102US	7095	
	7590 01/23/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	EXAMINER			
127 Public Squa	are	FRASER, STEWART A			
57th Floor, Key CLEVELAND,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,554	KOZEKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEWART A. FRASER	1795	

	'	STEWART A. FRASER	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2009</u> FAILS	TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection application, applicant must timely file of application in condition for allowance; (for Continued Examination (RCE) in comperiods:</li> </ol>	ne of the following re 2) a Notice of Appea	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresm	onths from the mailing o	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory pe Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, ch MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	eriod for reply expire late eck either box (a) or (b I. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFF have been filed is the date for purposes of determined 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receiv may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. SNOTICE OF APPEAL	ining the period of exte xpiration date of the sh red by the Office later the	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	. A brief in complia	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re AMENDMENTS				e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after		· ·		cause
(a) They raise new issues that would		·	ΓE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matt</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims w NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.	_	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance		1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo	llowing rejection(s): _			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).				_
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ol>	be rejected is provid		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	··			
The affidavit or other evidence filed afto because applicant failed to provide a swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFF	howing of good and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other eshowing a good and sufficient reasons</li> </ol>	evidence failed to ove	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entended in the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH	· ·	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has b See Continuation Sheet.		does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclos</i> 13. ☐ Other:	:ure Statement(s). (F	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Mark F. Huff/		/Stewart A Fraser/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit	1795	Examiner, Art Unit 1795		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the examiner's cited art documents fail to teach a pellicle that "generates a volatile organic compound of 0.5 ppm or less in total with respect to the weight of the pellicle under a specific detection condition and when the pellicle is irradiated with ArF laser light to an amount of 100J/cm2, substantially no foreign matter is generated on the pellicle film and/ or the photo-mask". The examiner respectfully contends that the applicant is claiming a pellicle, but arguing a method of using a pellicle.

In response to applicant's argument that the claimed pellicle generates substantially no foreign matter when the pellicle is irradiated, the examiner refers to MPEP Chapter 701 where "a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim". In the applicant's specification [0020], the applicant discloses that any known pellicle is used for the pellicle of the present invention.